

State of Hawai'i Department of Public Safety

## CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

Forty-Ninth Annual Report July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

> Commissioners Mari McCaig, Chair Martha Ross Abelina M. Shaw

Pamela Ferguson-Brey Executive Director

### **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	1-3
Mission Statement	4
Overview and History	4
Annual Activity	5-20
Operations	5
Compensation in Action	6
Commission Projects	7-20
Medical Expense Reduction Project	7
Mass Violence and Terrorism Response Project	8
Restitution Recovery Project	9-12
Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI)	13-20
Restitution Workgroup	14-16
Victim Services Workgroup	16-20
Assessment and Collection of the Crime Victim Compensation Fee	21-23
Conclusion	24
Attachment A – FY2016 Cases Categorized by Crime Type	A-1
Attachment B – FY2016 Case Summaries	B-1

#### **Executive Summary**

In 1967, the Hawai'i State Legislature created the Crime Victim Compensation Commission (the "Commission") to mitigate the financial impact suffered by victims of violent crime. The Commission disburses funds to pay un-reimbursed expenses for crime-related losses experienced by victims who suffer physical or emotional injury, or death, as the result of a violent crime. During Fiscal Year ("FY") 2017, the Commission processed 795 compensation payments, including 580 new applications for compensation.

In pursuing its mission, the Commission actively collaborates with numerous public and private agencies dedicated to serving crime victims.

No State general funds have been appropriated for victim compensation since FY 2003, and the Commission is now funded solely from non-tax revenue and matching federal funds. The Commission's projects include efforts to increase non-tax revenue through a more consistent assessment and collection of the mandatory Crime Victim Compensation Fee (the "Compensation Fee") by the Judiciary, and to increase the amount of reimbursement the Commission receives through restitution from the Restitution Recovery Project. Maintaining a consistent and adequate source of non-tax revenue to fund compensation for crime victims and operating expenses remains an important fiscal issue facing the Commission.

The **Compensation Fee** is the Commission's primary source of non-tax revenue and the assessment and collection of the Fee is vital to the Commission remaining fiscally self-sufficient. While the Compensation Fee was implemented in 1998, it was not until FY 2008 that revenue from the Compensation Fee exceeded the Commission's expenditures<sup>1</sup>. However, since FY 2010 Compensation Fee revenue has declined. The Commission will continue its court monitoring efforts, as well as its efforts to work collaboratively with the Judiciary to ensure that the Compensation Fee is assessed by judges in all eligible cases.

The **Medical Expense Reduction Project** seeks to reduce and contain escalating medical expenses by: 1) requesting that medical treatment providers accept payment of a thirty percent (30%) reduction of the fee charged, as payment in full for the medical services provided in cases involving uninsured crime victims; and 2) negotiating with the medical treatment providers to each accept a proportionate share of the Commission's maximum award as payment in full for the medical services rendered in cases where a victim's total medical expenses incurred exceed the statutory maximum award of \$20,000.00. In FY 2017, the Commission realized savings of \$88,543.55 from medical bills of \$142,519.96 in the aggregate, a savings of over sixty-two percent (62%).

VOCA funds.

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Commission revenue includes: Compensation Fees; court-ordered offender restitution as reimbursement for compensation awarded; a 10% statutory assessment on certain inmate wages; interest income; and federal matching

The Mass Violence and Terrorism Response Project ("Mass Violence Project") ensures that the Commission and other victim service providers are integrated into the County and State Incident Command Response model in order to ensure victims and incident survivors are provided with timely, efficient, and compassionate victim services and access to compensation. The Mass Violence Project integrates the County and State Incident Command Response model with the advice and experiences of the state agencies that have handled recent mass violence incidents and the recommendations of the Office of Victims of Crime. The Commission is organizing a two-day symposium in FY 2018 to bring together and educate stakeholders in order to increase Hawai'i's ability to assist victims, survivors, and communities.

The **Restitution Recovery Project** (the "Restitution Project") began in 2003 to collect restitution payments from inmates and parolees, and to disburse those funds to their crime victims, or to the Commission in cases where the Commission previously provided a compensation award to the crime victim. Restitution is paid to directly reimburse the Commission in cases where the Commission previously provided a compensation award to the crime victim for medical and mental health expenses, or funeral costs, generating the necessary funding for more crime victims to receive assistance.<sup>2</sup>

As a result of the Restitution Project, more victims have received increased amounts of restitution payments from offenders, and the Commission has received more reimbursements through restitution for compensation previously paid on behalf of crime victims. These increased restitution payments support and enhance the victim's ability to recover, serve to hold the offender accountable to the victim of their crime, and assist the offender in developing a sense of self-respect by righting the wrongs the offender has committed.

In 2011, the Governor appointed the Commission to serve as a member of the **Justice Reinvestment Initiative** (**JRI**) Working Group. The JRI Working Group was comprised of leading state and local officials who worked with the Council of State Governments Justice Center in partnership with the Pew Center on the States and the United States Department of Justice's Bureau of Justice Assistance, to develop a policy framework to address identified areas of concern within Hawai'i's criminal justice system. Part of the Commission's role as a member of the JRI Working Group was to engage crime victims, survivors, and victim services providers and advocates in identifying key issues and concerns specific to the JRI initiative. The Working Group's policy framework became the basis for the JRI legislation.

The Hawai'i State Legislature passed the Justice Reinvestment Act (Act 139) during the 2012 legislative session. JRI is landmark legislation that is not only designed to increase public safety while reducing spending on corrections, but also created the first comprehensive victim component that has since become a national model. According to the Council of State Governments, Hawai'i's JRI efforts represent the highest number of substantive policy inclusions for crime victims to date in any state's justice reinvestment project, and Hawai'i is the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 706-646(2), Hawai'i Revised Statutes, provides, in part, that "the court shall order restitution to be paid to the crime victim compensation commission in the event that the victim has been given an award for compensation under chapter 351."

only state with a comprehensive crime victim component as part of its Justice Reinvestment Initiative.

The Victim Services Component was intended to increase offender accountability by improving consistency of restitution collection and by enhancing victim safety planning. To assist in the implementation of the victim services component, the Commission serves as the Lead Co-Chair of two JRI implementation workgroups – the JRI Restitution Workgroup and the JRI Victim Services Workgroup. The workgroups are comprised of representatives from the Commission, the Judiciary, Victim Witness Programs of the County Prosecutor's Offices, Department of Public Safety, and the Department of the Attorney General. The Victim Services Workgroup also includes representatives of the victim services community.

#### JRI Restitution Workgroup:

The JRI Restitution Workgroup is tasked with the following three goals:

- 1. Facilitate the implementation of victim restitution collection pursuant to Hawai`i Revised Statutes (HRS) §353-22.6, which was amended by Act 139 on July 1, 2012, to increase the amount of restitution payable by inmates from 10% of inmates wages to 25% of all funds deposited into an inmate's account;
- 2. Address issues that impact the timely and accurate collection of restitution by improving interagency collaboration and establishing policies and procedures to ensure consistent restitution collection; and
- 3. Develop a statewide restitution database within the Commission to provide policy makers with comprehensive data about court-ordered restitution. The improved database is being developed with funding assistance from the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) and will also provide the Commission with the ability to interface with and extract data from other state criminal justice agencies.

#### JRI Victim Services Workgroup:

The JRI Victim Services Workgroup has the following three goals:

- 1. Establish a correction-based victim services program within the Department of Public Safety to coordinate with County Victim Services Programs regarding victim and community safety planning and to develop a victim impact panel that promotes offender accountability;
- 2. Create a self-funded, sustainable, victim-centered Statewide Automated Victim Notification (SAVIN) program which provides victim notification of changes in offender custody status and parole hearing notices, and convene the SAVIN Governance Committee which is tasked with ensuring that the SAVIN program implementation is victim centered; and
- 3. Establish JRI victim service positions within the various County Prosecutor's Offices to ensure information sharing within the various victim services programs and to assist victims with safety planning, restitution, and offender custody status notification.

The Commission will continue to serve as the lead co-chairs of these workgroups until the goals of the implementation of JRI are completed.

#### **Mission Statement**

"The mission of the Crime Victim Compensation Commission is to equitably and efficiently provide crime victims with the services due to them under Hawai'i law. In doing so, the Commission treats every victim and survivor with dignity and respect, acknowledging the tremendous impact that violent crime has upon crime victims and our society."

#### **Overview and History**

The Hawai'i State Legislature created the Commission in 1967 to compensate victims of violent crimes for their out-of-pocket expenses, losses, and pain and suffering resulting from the crime. The Commission was only the third organization of its kind in the country when it was established.

To be eligible for an award, a victim of a violent crime must report the crime to law enforcement without undue delay, apply to the Commission for compensation within eighteen (18) months after the date of the incident, and provide the Commission with documentation of their expenses and losses (i.e., medical bills, insurance statements, pay stubs, etc.) resulting from the crime.

The Commission has processed over 30,000 victim applications since 1984<sup>3</sup>, and, since inception, has awarded over thirty-one million dollars (\$31,000,000.000, in addition to over two million six hundred thousand dollars (\$2,600,000.00) in medical expense savings, in compensation to crime victims. These awards have assisted victims with medical costs, counseling costs, lost wages, and funeral expenses not covered by other sources. Many victims would not be able to receive rehabilitation services, counseling services, or bury a loved one without compensation awarded by the Commission.

Through 2001, the Commission relied primarily on State general funds to finance compensation awards and operating costs. In 2003, the Commission's compensation program became fiscally self-sufficient, relying solely on the following:

- Compensation Fees assessed by judges against criminal offenders (primary source of revenue)
- Court-ordered offender restitution as reimbursement for compensation awarded
- Ten percent (10%) of Hawai'i inmate wages (for offenders convicted of violent crimes)
- Interest income from funds on deposit
- Federal Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) funds the Commission receives a sixty percent (60%) federal match for every State dollar expended to compensate victims of violent crime

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Statistical data for the number of applications received by the Commission prior to 1984 is unavailable.

#### **Annual Activity**

#### **Operations**

During FY 2017, the Commission received 580 new applications for compensation and processed 795 compensation awards. The Commission gives each application full consideration and works to ensure accurate and efficient claims processing.

FY 2003 was the first year that the Commission operated without expending any Hawai'i taxpayer dollars. This means that all funds expended by the Commission for compensation come from the nontax revenue sources listed above on Page 4 of this Report. The Compensation Fee is the main source of the Commission's income and the receipt of Federal VOCA Funds is directly tied to the expenditure of the Compensation Fee. It is, therefore, essential that the Compensation Fee be assessed and collected by the Judiciary in all

"I wanted to thank you so much for all of your help. How hard you worked to help make it possible to get my son...taken care of. There was so little time but you helped so much in making everything work out so that we could all be part of spreading his ashes. Thank you so much."

Parents of a murder victim

eligible cases. The Commission necessarily must rely on cooperation and support from the Judiciary to assess and collect the Compensation Fee from convicted offenders in all eligible cases.

The Commission paid \$243,330.00 for the annual 5% central service expense fee for FY 2015, FY 2016, and 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter FY 2017 to the Department of Budget & Finance and the Commission's pro-rata share of administrative expenses incurred by the Department of Public Safety (PSD) based on all non-tax revenue collected on, or on behalf of, the Commission. These assessments are paid on all income sources listed on Page 4 except for the money received from the Federal VOCA funds. The payment of these assessments poses a challenge to the Commission's ability to maintain fiscal self-sufficiency.

These assessments are imposed on money collected to reimburse the Commission. When the Commission compensates a crime victim, the Commission can seek reimbursement from the defendant who committed the crime via court ordered restitution. However, when the Commission is paid the restitution, the Commission must pay the two assessments again. Thus, the Commission receives less than what it paid the crime victim even when the restitution is paid in full.

#### **Compensation in Action -** Compensation for Crime-Related Expenses

#### Case #1 – Murder Victim

The 32-year-old male Victim was stabbed by a male suspect and died as a result of his wounds. His death was classified as Murder. The Commission awarded the statutory maximum of \$10,000.00 which consisted of \$1,618.00 for funeral expenses and \$8,382.00 for the Victim's three minor children to cover the loss of his supporting income.

#### Case #2 – Assault Victim

The 22-year-old male Victim was assaulted by several males. The Victim suffered substantial injuries and did not have medical insurance. The Commission negotiated with medical providers to accept \$16,766.12 as payment in full to satisfy medical expenses owed totaling \$135,445.24.

#### Case #3 – Abuse of Family/Household Member Victim

The 49-year-old female Victim was assaulted by her boyfriend. The Victim suffered a deviated septum, fractured teeth, and multiple contusions and incurred \$1,440.28 in medical and dental co-payments. The Commission was able to pay all of the co-payments directly to the providers so the Victim would not have to pay her expenses out of pocket.

#### Case #4 – Sexual Assault of a Minor Victim

The 6-year-old male Victim was sexually assaulted by a male relative. The Victim suffered emotional and physical trauma. The Commission has currently paid \$240.00 in co-payments for mental health treatment. The Victim is still under the care of a mental health treatment provider and the Commission will continue to award compensation for these services.

#### <u>Case #5 – Attempted Murder Victim</u>

The 23-year-old female Victim was stabbed multiple times by a male suspect. The Victim suffered serious injuries that are likely to result in permanent nerve damage. The Victim did not have medical insurance. The Commission negotiated with her medical providers to accept \$16,025.17 as payment in full to satisfy \$110,269.52 in medical expenses.

#### Case #6 – Assault Victim Restitution Recovery

The 14-year-old male Victim was assaulted by another minor male. Victim suffered a broken jaw and emotional trauma. He incurred \$389.95 in medical and therapy co-payments. The Commission was able to pay the therapy expenses directly to the therapist so that the Victim's parents did not have to pay his expenses out of pocket. With the assistance of prosecutors and victim witness advocates, the Commission requested, and the court ordered, that the defendant be held accountable for his actions and pay restitution to reimburse the Commission. Within four months of the restitution being ordered, the Commission received payment in full.

#### **Commission Projects**

#### **Medical Expense Reduction Project**

The Commission initiated the Medical Expense Reduction Project in FY 2006 to reduce overall medical expenses of uninsured victims of violent crime. Escalating medical costs have continued to further tax the Commission's already limited resources. This is especially evident in cases where the victim's medical expenses are not covered by medical insurance. In an attempt to mitigate rising medical costs,



the Medical Expense Reduction Project has proven to be an unqualified success and absolutely necessary to allow the Commission to continue providing much-needed financial assistance to the victims of violent crimes in Hawai'i.

Compensation for crime-related medical expenses is limited under Section 351-62(b), Hawai'i Revised Statutes, to a maximum of \$20,000.00 in cases involving compensable medical expenses for catastrophic injuries. This limit is easily exceeded when a violent crime victim is hospitalized for more than several days. Many crime victims who are uninsured fall into the gap group who make too little money to afford medical insurance, and too much money to qualify for Quest medical insurance.

The Commission has taken a two-pronged approach to this issue:

#### 1. Medical Expenses Do Not Exceed the \$20,000.00 Statutory Limit

The Commission requests that medical providers accept 70% payment from the Commission as payment in full for their services for uninsured crime victims. In this way, the Applicant is not responsible for the remaining 30% of the expenses, and the Commission retains more funds to benefit other crime victims.

#### 2. Medical Expenses Exceed the \$20,000.00 Statutory Limit

The Commission leverages the \$20,000.00 maximum award against the full amount of the medical expenses owed by the Applicant by requesting that the medical providers accept the Commission's payment as payment in full. This has enabled the Commission to leverage the \$20,000.00 maximum award available for compensable medical expenses to benefit the Applicant by not having any remaining balance due.

While not all medical providers in the cases selected for the Medical Expense Reduction Project agreed to accept a reduced payment as payment in full, in the Medical Expense Reduction Project cases where the Commission was successful in FY 2017, crime victims realized savings of \$88,543.55 from medical bills of \$142,519.96 in the aggregate, a savings of over sixty-two percent (62%).

Over the course of the Project, the Commission has saved victims and the Commission over \$2,600,000.00.

#### Mass Violence and Terrorism Response Project

The Mass Violence and Terrorism Response Project ("Mass Violence Project") ensures that the Commission and other victim service providers are integrated into the County and State Incident Command Response model in order to ensure victims and incident survivors are provided with timely, efficient, and compassionate victim services and access to compensation. The Mass Violence Project integrates the County and State Incident Command Response model with the advice and experiences of the state agencies that have handled recent mass violence incidents and the recommendations of the Office of Victims of Crime.



**AP Photo** 

The Office for Victims of Crime recommends that communities engage in advanced planning, including development of victim assistance protocols. Specifically, the Office of Victims of Crime recommends that organizations charged with responding to incidents of mass violence and terrorism:

- 1. Assemble a multidisciplinary planning committee.
- 2. Identify roles and responsibilities.
- 3. Identify existing resources and gaps.
- 4. Develop memoranda of understanding.

In laying the groundwork for OVC's recommendations, the Commission is partnering with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and the U.S. Attorney's Office (USAO) to organize a two-day symposium on *Meeting the Needs of Victims, Survivors and Affected Communities:*Coordinated and Collaborative Response to Mass Violence and Terrorism Crime. The two-day Symposium will be held on Oahu and the Big Island in October 2017 for county/state/federal government agencies, nonprofit/community-based organizations, and the private sector. The goal is to bring together and educate stakeholders to increase Hawai'i's ability to assist victims, survivors, and communities in a manner that is collaborative and based on best practices and "lessons learned" from previous terrorism and mass violence crisis responses across the Nation.

In the meantime, the Commission is working to update its mass violence procedures and improve interagency coordination to increase the Commission's preparedness. The Commission has created a Mass Violence Project kit which will enable the Commission to mobilize and respond quickly to an incident. The Commission is reviewing the current application for mass violence incidents to determine how the application can be written to be readily understood and completed by victims traumatized by a mass violence incident. The Commission will continue to work with the County, State, and Federal Victim Assistance programs to create a plan for a coordinated response to a mass violence incident.

#### **Restitution Recovery Project**



"...in the criminal justice system, the victim of crime is almost always neglected. By requiring the convicted person to make restitution and reparation to the victim, justice is served. In so doing, the criminal repays not only "society" but the persons injured in the criminal's act. There is a dual benefit to this concept: the victim is repaid for his loss and the criminal may develop a degree of self-respect and pride in knowing that he or she righted the wrong committed."

Sen. Stand. Comm. Rep. No 789, in 1975 Senate Journal @1132, commentary on the Senate's intent in passing HRS section 706-605(1)(e) which authorizes Courts to order restitution.

Section 706-605(1)(e), Hawai'i Revised Statutes, was enacted in 1975 to provide the Courts with specific statutory authority to order defendants to make restitution payments to reimburse the economic

losses suffered by the victims of their crime. Since the passage of this provision, a significant number of victims have not received the court-ordered restitution that they are entitled to. Victims have found it particularly difficult to recover court-ordered restitution from sentenced felons<sup>4</sup> and parolees.

The Judiciary previously processed restitution payments for all offenders, including inmates and parolees. For a decade, the Judiciary made efforts to divest itself of the responsibility for processing restitution payments made by inmates and parolees. During this time period, the Judiciary and the Department of Public Safety ("PSD") had been at an administrative stalemate over who was responsible for processing restitution payments made by inmates and parolees.

The system to process court-ordered restitution payments was not working. In order to open a restitution account for an inmate or parolee, the Judiciary required the name and address of the victim before they would accept any restitution payments. This procedure created a significant barrier to the payment of restitution by inmates and parolees. In many cases it was difficult or impossible for PSD and the Hawai'i Paroling Authority (the "Paroling Authority") to secure the name and address of the victim. Victim service providers were understandably uncomfortable about giving the names and addresses of domestic abuse, sexual assault, and other crime victims to PSD and the Paroling Authority. There were concerns that victim safety would be jeopardized if an inmate or parolee inadvertently saw the name and address of their victim in their case file.

Despite numerous meetings between the Judiciary, PSD, the Paroling Authority, and victim service providers, and several false starts, efforts to resolve this issue ultimately failed until the Commission stepped forward. With the support of the PSD Director, the Paroling Authority, and the Honolulu Victim Witness Kokua Program, the Commission initiated a pilot project to accept responsibility for the collection and disbursement of restitution paid by inmates and parolees.

9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Excludes inmates sentenced to incarceration as a condition of probation.

On January 1, 2003, the Commission initiated the pilot Restitution Project to collect and disburse restitution paid by inmates and parolees. The Commission has opened 8,020 restitution and

"Because of the collaborative efforts between the Crime Victim Compensation Commission and the Hawai'i Paroling Authority, there are more timely payments of restitution to crime victims than there have been in the past."

Tommy Johnson, Administrator, Hawai'i Paroling Authority

compensation fee cases and collected over \$4,000,000.00 to disburse to crime victims from January 1, 2003 through June 30, 2017. Information identifying the whereabouts of crime victims is kept confidential so that the safety needs of victims are being met. The barriers that made it difficult for PSD and the Paroling Authority to

open restitution accounts at the Judiciary have also been eliminated. PSD and the Paroling Authority need only send restitution payments to the Commission and the Commission disburses the restitution payment to the victim.

A functional Restitution Project benefits all involved. It is now easy for Parole Officers to increase the accountability of offenders by having them repay the crime victim they injured. This Project also assists the County Victim Witness Programs in their efforts to restore the crime victims they serve. In cases where the Commission previously provided compensation awards to crime victims for medical, mental health, or funeral costs, the Commission receives direct

"Restitution is the cornerstone of restorative justice. Saying we are committed to restorative justice means nothing unless we make a sincere and concerted effort to make sure victims get restitution - without that - restorative justice means nothing. If an offender is not serious about paying restitution, they are not serious about rehabilitating themselves."

> Dennis Dunn, Director, Victim Witness Kokua Program, Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, City and County of Honolulu


reimbursement of those funds, allowing more crime victims to receive assistance.<sup>5</sup>

#### **Restitution Collection**

Restitution collection from inmates and parolees<sup>6</sup> has increased significantly over the life of the Project. In the first six months (January – June 2003) of the Restitution Project, the Commission collected slightly more than \$22,000.00 and in the past fiscal year (FY 2017), the Commission collected \$587,212.43. The Commission believes that the significant increase in restitution collections over the life of the Restitution Project reflect the gradual institutionalization of restitution assessment by judges and collection of restitution by the correctional facilities and the Paroling Authority, however, more needs to be done to improve the reliability and efficiency of restitution collection.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 706-646(2), Hawai'i Revised Statutes, provides, in part, that "the court shall order restitution to be paid to the crime victim compensation commission in the event that the victim has been given an award for compensation under chapter 351."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Restitution payments are from inmates and parolees and do not include payments from inmates incarcerated as a condition of probation.



#### **Compensation Fee Collection**

The Restitution Project also resulted in an increase in the collection of the Compensation Fee from parolees. In the first year of the Project, the Commission collected more than \$2,000.00, and in the last fiscal year, the Commission collected over \$65,000.00 in Compensation Fees. While the Paroling Authority has authority to collect Compensation Fees from a parolee, the correctional facilities do not presently have statutory authority to garnish inmate wages to pay court-ordered Compensation Fees.



#### Justice Reinvestment Initiative

In 2011, Governor Abercrombie appointed the Commission to serve as a member of the **Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI)** Working Group. The JRI Working Group was comprised of leading state and local officials who worked with the Council of State Governments Justice Center in partnership with the Pew Center on the States and the United States Department of Justice's Bureau of Justice Assistance, to develop a policy framework to address



identified areas of concern within Hawai'i's criminal justice system and to develop data-driven, consensus-based policy options designed to reduce corrections spending and increase public safety. And Hawai'i became the first state to reinvest substantial money into victim services. Part of the Commission's role as a member of the JRI Working Group was to engage crime victims, survivors, and victim services providers and advocates in identifying key issues and concerns specific to the JRI initiative. The Working Group's policy framework became the basis for the JRI legislation.

The Hawai'i State Legislature passed the Justice Reinvestment Act (Act 139), commonly referred to as the JRI Act, during the 2012 legislative session. The JRI Act is landmark legislation that is not only designed to increase public safety while reducing spending on corrections, but also created the first comprehensive victim services component. The JRI victim services component made victims' needs and offender accountability key parts of the JRI Act. The JRI victim services component's primary goals are (1) to ensure victim and community safety; (2) to establish a permanent, self-funded statewide victim notification program (SAVIN); and (3) to ensure victim restitution is ordered and enforced in all eligible cases. The JRI victim services component has since become a national model. The Commission's Executive Director's efforts to ensure the passage of the JRI victim services component, was recognized when she received the Department of Justice's Office for Victims of Crime, Crime Victim Financial Restoration Award in April 2013 from United States Attorney General Eric Holder.

To meet victim needs and increase offender accountability, the JRI Act increased the amount of restitution to be paid by inmates and created 22 victim services positions. Prior to the JRI Act, Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS) § 353-22.5 required the director of public safety to deduct 10% of inmate wages to satisfy restitution orders. The JRI Act amended HRS § 353-22.5 by increasing the amount to be deducted from inmate accounts to 25% of all earnings, deposits and credits and increasing the frequency of the disbursements to crime victims. To assist victims with collection of restitution and coordination of safety planning and notification, fifteen positions for county-based victim advocates were created; two positions were created to be part of the corrections-based victim service program within PSD; and five positions were created within the Commission to establish a data driven restitution accountability program that monitors restitution collections and disbursements, and identifies problems and issues with restitution collection. The JRI Act also appropriated \$150,000.00 for the Commission to create a comprehensive computer database to track restitution orders, collections and payments to

victims. An additional \$100,000.00 grant from the Bureau of Justice Administration was secured by the Commission for the database.

To assist in the implementation of the JRI Act, the JRI Implementation Working Group and its subcommittees were created. As a member of Hawai`i's JRI Implementation Working Group, the Commission was tasked with representing the interests of crime victims and survivors and to engage crime victims, survivors, service providers, and advocates in identifying key issues and concerns specific to the JRI Act. The Commission also serves as the lead co-chair of two JRI Implementation Working Group subcommittees – the JRI Restitution Workgroup and the JRI Victim Services Workgroup. The JRI Restitution Workgroup and the JRI Victim Services Workgroup is comprised of representatives from the Judiciary, Victim Witness Programs of the county prosecutor's offices, Department of Public Safety, and the Department of the Attorney General. The JRI Victim Services Workgroup also includes representatives of the victim services community.

#### JRI Restitution Workgroup:

The JRI Restitution Workgroup has the following goals:


- 1) Facilitate the implementation of victim restitution collection pursuant to HRS § 353-22.6, which was amended by Act 139 on July 1, 2012, to increase the amount of restitution payable by inmates from 10% of inmates wages to 25% of all funds deposited into an inmate's account;
- 2) Address issues that impact the timely and accurate collection of restitution by improving interagency collaboration and establishing policies and procedures to ensure consistent restitution collection; and
- 3) Develop a statewide restitution database within the Commission to provide policy makers with comprehensive data about court-ordered restitution.

The JRI Restitution Workgroup was tasked with monitoring the effective implementation of HRS § 353-22.6, as amended by the JRI Act. The JRI Act increased the amount of restitution payable by inmates from 10% of inmates wages to 25% of all funds deposited into an inmate's account. The JRI Restitution Workgroup, in conjunction with PSD, identified the issues affecting the implementation of the 25% deduction of inmate earnings, deposits, and credits, and worked together to find solutions to the issues.

The workgroup identified the following issues affecting the implementation of the 25% deduction of inmate earnings, deposits, and credits:

- The 25% deduction of inmate earnings, deposits, and credits has not been consistently implemented in all of the correctional facilities.
- PSD does not receive all of the necessary court documents.
- PSD does not consistently identify all restitution cases.

- After HRS § 353-22.6 was amended to require that 25% of inmate earnings, deposits and credits be applied to restitution orders, some judges continued to order that the inmate does not have to pay any restitution while incarcerated or ordered payments at a rate less than 25%. Despite the legislature's intent to increase the restitution collection to 25%, the Attorney General advised PSD to follow the court order rather than the statute. This issue was prospectively resolved in 2016 by Act 231 which amended HRS § 353-22.6 and HRS § 706-646 to state that while incarcerated, an inmate's restitution payment shall be 25% of inmate earnings, deposits and credits regardless of any court ordered payment schedule.
- PSD requested an Attorney General's opinion on the issues of how to determine the priority of restitution payment when an inmate has multiple restitution orders, whether the 25% deduction applies to probationers who are serving a term of incarceration as a condition of probation, and whether restitution can be collected from money transferred from an inmate's work furlough account to the inmate's trust account. Based on the Attorney General's opinions and through the leadership of PSD's Director, PSD adopted policy and procedures to clarify these issues. The issue of restitution payments by inmates on furlough was resolved by a PSD polic y that designates 25% of an inmate's furlough income be collected to satisfy the inmate's restitution obligation. As illustrated in the following graph, \$160,929.97, was collected from inmates on furlough in fiscal year 2017:



 PSD's Inmate Trust Accounting System cannot accommodate the varying restitution deduction amounts. The system was created to only accommodate a single deduction percentage of a singular pool of money i.e. 10% of inmate wages or 25% of all inmate earnings, deposits, and credits. A modification to the system is currently being implemented to accommodate varying deduction rates and criteria.

The JRI Restitution Workgroup continues to work collaboratively with PSD and the Judiciary to remove obstacles to timely, consistent and accurate collection of restitution. The workgroup monitors progress, helps to identify the agencies that need to be included in the discussions, and assists in bringing the necessary parties together in a collaborative atmosphere. The Workgroup

continues to work on potential solutions to problems such as ensuring that PSD receive the necessary court documents and developing standardized language for restitution orders.

The JRI Restitution Workgroup oversaw the creation of the Commission's new web-based Compensation and Restitution Management System (CRMS) that would improve the efficiency of processing restitution payments and enable access by remote users within PSD and the Hawai'i Paroling Authority. In February 2016, the Commission deployed CRMS for use by Commission staff and remote users throughout PSD and the Hawai'i Paroling Authority. The new system features custom case management tools, streamlined payment processing, expanded data collection and enhanced reporting capabilities which enable data-driven analysis of the effectiveness of restitution collection from inmates and parolees in Hawai'i. CRMS will enable the unprecedented analysis of statewide restitution data that will help inform local and national policy-makers, policy-implementers, and the general public about Hawai'i's restitution management.

Additionally, a five-year interagency partnership with the Hawai`i Criminal Justice Data Center allowed the development of a case-level data-sharing interface between the Criminal Justice Data Center's Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS) and CRMS. Information about the amount of restitution ordered statewide is downloaded quarterly from CJIS to CRMS where it is linked to case-level data about the amount of restitution paid by offenders and disbursed to crime victims.

Completion of the Commission's new restitution database has provided an opportunity for analysis of an unprecedented, statewide restitution dataset that will help to inform the public, policy makers and policy implementers about the state of restitution management in the State of Hawai'i. In March 2017, the Commission contracted with the Council of State Governments Justice Center (CSG) to conduct an in-depth data analysis about statewide restitution orders and about the collection of restitution from inmates and parolees throughout Hawai'i. CSG will also prepare a final report and presentation to detail their findings for policy makers and practitioners from PSD, the Hawai'i Paroling Authority, the Hawai'i State Judiciary, and the Hawai'i State Legislature. The final report and presentation are targeted for release in February 2018.

#### JRI Victim Services Workgroup:

As co-chair of the JRI Victim Services Workgroup, the Commission worked with the other members of the workgroup to address and accomplish the following three goals:

Goal 1: Establish A Correction Based Victim Services Program Within The Department Of Public Safety To Coordinate With County Victim Services Programs Regarding Victim And Community Safety Planning And To Develop A Victim Impact Panel That Promotes Offender Accountability.

In July 2012, JRI authorized the creation of the Correction Based Victim Services Program (CBVS Program) within PSD and created two staff positions for the CBVS Program. At that time, Hawai'i was the only state without a CBVS Program. Hawai'i's CBVS Program is envisioned to staff the statewide victim notification program (SAVIN), assist in addressing restitution shortfalls in PSD,

coordinate with community victim service providers to ensure that victims receive timely notification of offender custody status as required by HRS § 801D-4, and have appropriate safety planning, educate offenders about the impact of the crime on victims through victim impact panels, and ensure that victims are protected from harassment by incarcerated offenders.

In an effort to assist in the creation of the CBVS program, the JRI Victim Services Workgroup drafted position descriptions for the two staff positions. In June 2016, PSD hired a program coordinator for CBVS as part of PSD's Re-entry Office staff.

To facilitate the creation of the CBVS Program, the Commission drafted a letter for the PSD Director's signature to the National Institute of Corrections (NIC) requesting assistance in developing a strategic plan for the creation of the CBVS Program. The NIC accepted PSD's application and provided a facilitator to develop the strategic plan. The Commission coordinated the Strategic Planning Meeting that brought together the NIC facilitator with crime victims, victim witness coordinators from the four counties, statewide victim service providers, members of the criminal justice community, and personnel from PSD. The strategic plan for the CBVS program was finalized in July 2016. Prior to receiving the finalized strategic plan, the workgroup began implementing the goals of the strategic plan. The strategic plan's goals include:

- Improve the post-conviction victim notification services.
- Implement impact of crime program for offenders within PSD.
- Assure inmate accountability in fulfilling restitution obligations to crime victims.

In April 2016, focus groups were conducted on Maui, Oahu, Hawai'i, and Kauai to gain input on how the post-conviction needs of crime victim could be better met. Their input helped shape how the CBVS Program will achieve the strategic plan's goals.

In the Spring of 2016, as part of a pilot project and in partnership with PSD and

the Hawai'i County Office of the Prosecuting Attorney, Victim Impact Classes (VIC) were held. VICs created a safe environment for several crime victims to share with inmates how they were impacted by the crimes committed against them. Sharing their experiences provided a healing opportunity for the crime victims. And for many inmates, this was the first time that they confronted

"It was hard to go back and think about, talk about, kinda relive that experience but it also reminded me that I am strong and a survivor."

Crime Victim Speaker

"It was not only the best class that I ever took while in prison, but the only class that made me see the other side of the people we hurt."

Inmate Participant

how their crimes adversely affected their victims. Feeling empathy for others assists inmates in their rehabilitation and helps to prevent the commission of future crimes.

Goal 2: Create A Self-Funded, Sustainable, Victim-Centered Statewide Automated Victim Notification (SAVIN) Program Which Provides Victim Notification Of Changes In Offender Custody Status And Parole Hearing Notices, And Convene The SAVIN Governance Committee Which Is Tasked With Ensuring That The SAVIN Program Implementation Is Victim Centered.

HRS § 801D-4, provides crime victims and witnesses with the right, upon request, to be notified by PSD of changes in the custody status of the offender. Prior to 2008, PSD provided manual notification through the county victim assistance programs in the county Prosecutors' Offices. This manual notification system was not uniformly applied in each of the counties, was only available during regular business hours, and resulted, in some cases, with untimely notification made to victims and witnesses. In order to address these concerns, PSD applied for and, in 2008, received federal grant funds to establish SAVIN. The federally–funded SAVIN Program was temporary until JRI legislation created the permanent SAVIN program and established the SAVIN Governance Committee.

SAVIN is an essential element of JRI. The ability of crime victims to have input in decision making about the appropriateness of an offender's release, and the impact of the offender's release on the victim's safety, as well as on the safety of the community at large, is dependent on timely notification of custody status. Since its establishment, SAVIN has provided thousands of crime victims, witnesses, survivors, victim service providers, law enforcement agencies, and concerned members of the public with automatic, timely notification in advance of changes in an offender's custody status.

JRI legislation created a permanent self-funded, sustainable SAVIN program within PSD. A special fund was established which is funded through a 4% assessment on purchases by offenders in correctional facilities and through telephone fees collected on calls made by offenders from correctional facilities. Collection of funds into the SAVIN special fund account are estimated to cover the cost for the system as well as any additional costs that may be associated with the SAVIN program including funds for a SAVIN Coordinator position.

In conformity with the Bureau of Justice Assistance standard, JRI created the SAVIN Governance Committee whose mission is to ensure that SAVIN is victim centered. The Commission was appointed a member of the SAVIN Governance Committee. The Commission served as the interim chair and guided the committee through its establishment and the election of a permanent chairperson. The committee is comprised of county victim service providers and advocates, crime victims, technology experts, members of the criminal justice community, and the Commission's Executive Director. The SAVIN Governance Committee's purpose is to ensure that the implementation of the SAVIN Program is based on


victim-focused principles of victim/survivor safety, confidentiality, and autonomy while maintaining the balance between agency-level decision-making and broad-based input from the participants and users of the SAVIN program which includes victims and survivors.

The Committee created a SAVIN brochure and posters, a multimedia public service announcement with funding provided in part by the Bureau of Justice Assistance. The brochure and posters were distributed to key government officials and agencies, legislators, the Judiciary, as well as community non-profit organizations whose clients would benefit from knowledge of the SAVIN system. With the assistance of the Honolulu Department of Transportation, SAVIN posters were displayed in The Bus the May 2016.

Goal 3: Establish JRI Victim Service Positions Within The County Prosecutor's Offices To Ensure Information Sharing Within The Victim Services Programs And To Assist Victims With Safety Planning, Restitution, And Offender Custody Status Notification

JRI funded fifteen victim service positions within the four counties' Victim Witness Assistance Programs. These positions were established to assist and inform victims about safety planning, restitution in court, and offender custody status notification. The JRI Victim Services Workgroup monitored the creation and filling of the positions. All fifteen victim services positions were filled; however, these positions were only funded until July 30, 2014.

To measure the impact of the fifteen victim service positions, the Victim Services Workgroup created a uniform, standardized dashboard data collection tool for use by the county Victim Witness Assistance Programs to collect information on the implementation of JRI from the counties.



The chart above shows the incredible positive impact that the fifteen positions had on victim services. The Victim Services Workgroup is working with the County Victim Witness Assistance Programs to update the data matrix.

During the 2014 legislative session only \$200,000.00 of the \$794,595.00 necessary to fully fund the fifteen positions was appropriated and no funds were appropriated by the legislature during its 2015 session. Because of the importance of these positions serving crime victims and survivors, and the necessary essential element of service to the victim service component of the justice reinvestment initiative, the Department of the Attorney General pledged Victim of Crime Act (VOCA) grant funds from the U.S. Department of Justice, Office for Victims of Crime, to cover the positions for three years effective October 1, 2015, to September 30, 2018.

#### Conclusion

According to the Council of State Governments, Hawai'i's JRI efforts represent the highest number of substantive policy inclusions for crime victims to date in any state's justice reinvestment project, and Hawai'i is the only state with a comprehensive crime victim component as part of its Justice Reinvestment initiative. The leadership and commitment by the Director of PSD to JRI has led to the establishment of departmental restitution policies and procedures and the establishment of the CBVS, including the hiring of the program coordinator for CBVS. Continued commitment to the crime victim component is necessary for JRI to succeed.

### Assessment and Collection of the Mandatory Crime Victim Compensation Fee

The Legislature established the mandatory Crime Victim Compensation Fee in 1998 to provide a funding base for the Commission to begin the process of becoming fiscally self-sufficient. The mandatory Compensation Fee must be assessed by judges against every offender who is convicted of, or who enters a deferred plea (DAG/DANC) to, a petty misdemeanor, misdemeanor, or felony, and is able to pay the fee.<sup>7</sup>

Since the implementation of the Compensation Fee, the Commission encountered significant barriers to collecting the Compensation Fee. For fiscal years 1999, 2001, and 2002 combined, the Judiciary fell nearly one million dollars short of its own estimates for Compensation Fee collections. After 2002, the Judiciary simply ceased providing estimates for Compensation Fee collections. Courtroom surveys revealed that judges were not ordering the Compensation Fee in all eligible cases. For example, judges were (1) waiving the Compensation Fees, but assessing fines with lower priority; (2) not assessing the fee for each eligible offense committed by the same defendant; or (3) unaware of the Compensation Fee altogether.

When attempts to collaborate with the Judiciary failed, the Commission requested, and the Legislature passed in 2005, an increase of five dollars (\$5.00) in the Compensation Fee for all crime categories. The Judiciary's collection of the Compensation Fee again fell short of anticipated revenue increases. Concerned that insufficient funds were being collected, the Legislature passed a resolution in 2007 requiring the Judiciary to report its progress in ordering and collecting the mandatory Compensation Fee. According to the Judiciary's response, the Compensation Fee was ordered in seventy-nine percent (79%), and waived in twenty-one percent (21%), of eligible cases. The Judiciary, however, could not provide the number of cases in which the Compensation Fee was actually collected, except to say that during the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, \$183,051.00 was collected.

As a result of the Legislature holding the Judiciary accountable, revenue from the Compensation Fee increased, and for the first time ever, revenue from the Fee exceeded the Commission's expenditures in FY 2008 and FY 2009. However, collections dropped off in FY 2010, FY 2011 and FY 2012. In FY 2013, collections dropped to a ten-year low of \$788,139.00. In FY 2014, collections increased slightly to \$811,806.41. However, since then, collections have continued to drop.

In FY 2017, although total Compensation Fee collection was \$904,310.05, \$150,000.00 was payment made as part of a plea agreement, meaning that Judiciary collections were only \$754,310.05 the lowest collection since FY 2002.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 351-62.6, Hawai'i Revised Statutes, provides that the court shall assess a Compensation Fee against every convicted offender, or offender who enters a deferred plea, and who is or will be able to pay the Fee. Fees are assessed as follows:

<sup>1.</sup> a \$30 fee for a petty misdemeanor;

<sup>2.</sup> a \$55 fee for a misdemeanor; and

<sup>3.</sup> a \$105 to \$505 fee for a felony.

The Commission is acutely aware that its ability to remain self-sufficient depends on judges ordering the Compensation Fee, and the Judiciary successfully collecting the Compensation Fee from offenders in all eligible cases. For this reason, the Commission remains committed to its efforts to ensure that the mandatory Compensation Fee is assessed by judges and collected by the Judiciary in all eligible cases where the offender has the ability to pay. The Commission will continue to closely monitor whether or not judges order the Compensation Fee, and whether or not the Judiciary collects it, in all eligible cases. The collection of the Compensation Fee by the Judiciary directly impacts the Commission's ability to continue to assist the victims who apply for compensation and without these funds the Commission will be unable to provide compensation going forward.





#### Conclusion

For each of the past forty-nine years, the Commission has provided enhanced levels of service to the victims of violent crime in Hawai'i. The Commission has streamlined case processing by increasing management efficiency, cultivating strategic partnerships with other public and private agencies, and making significant progress toward fiscal self-sufficiency. Even with all of

these improvements, the Commission will maintain its pursuit of strategies to further improve services to crime victims.

The Commission will continue to diligently pursue its mission to mitigate the costly and emotionally devastating impact of violent crime on citizens and visitors through continued collaborative efforts, further improvements in management efficiency, and strong staff commitment.

### CASE SUMMARIES

**FOR** 

FISCAL YEAR 2017 July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

Listed by County:


Hilo


Kauai


Kona


Maui


Oahu














#### STATE OF HAWAI'I **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION**

#### **ANNUAL REPORT**

#### July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

	Case #			Victim Crime Age	Decision Rema	rks	Total Award
	16- 0532	Male	34	NCC - Not a Covered Crime	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
	17- 0279	Male	2	SACF - Sexual Assault, Child by Fam	Denial	IC - Improper Claim	\$0.00
	17- 0280	Female	2	SACF - Sexual Assault, Child by Fam	Denial	IC - Improper Claim	\$0.00
Hawaii - Hilo	09- 0420	Male	34	AA - Assault, Adult	Withdrawa	AWA - Applicant Withdrew Application	\$0.00
	14- 0412	Male	64	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$1,290.44
	16- 0113	Female	54	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Mem	ber Award	CTI - Contributory	\$0.00
	16- 0161	Male	43	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Award		\$200.00
	16- 0171	Female	22	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Mem	ber Award		\$157.69
	16- 0190	Female	9	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Denial	BFP - Beyond Filing Period	\$0.00
	16- 0191	Male	39	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Mem	ber Award		\$150.00
	16- 0201	Female	42	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Mem	ber Award		\$150.00
	16- 0211	Male	5	SACF - Sexual Assault, Child by Fam	ily Denial	IEC - Insufficient Evidence of a Crime	\$0.00
	16- 0218	Female	37	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Mem	ber Award		\$250.00
	16- 0227	Female	46	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$100.00
	16- 0229	Male	45	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$200.00
	16- 0238	Female	11	SACF - Sexual Assault, Child by Fam	ily Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$100.00
	16- 0239	Female	17	ACF - Assault, Child by Family Mem	per Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
	16- 0252	Female	19	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Mem	ber Award		\$100.00
	16- 0253	Male	6	ACF - Assault, Child by Family Mem	per Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$200.00
	16- 0263	Female	28	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Mem	ber Award		\$100.00
	16- 0265	Female	32	NH2 - Negligent Homicide II	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
	16- 0267	Female	52	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Mem	ber Award		\$100.00
	16- 0268	Female	23	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Mem	ber Award		\$150.00
	16- 0279	Female	28	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Mem	ber Award		\$100.00
	16- 0298	Female	29	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$150.00

# STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

#### **ANNUAL REPORT**

#### July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Case #	Victim	Sex	Victim Age	Crime	Decision	Remar	·ks	Total Award
16- 0300	Male	52	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$1,780.00
16- 0305	Female	12	AC - Ass	ault, Child	A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0306	Male	4	ACF - As	ssault, Child by Family Member	. A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$300.00
16- 0307	Male	7	ACF - As	ssault, Child by Family Member	· A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$300.00
16- 0308	Male	6	ACF - As	ssault, Child by Family Member	A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$300.00
16- 0355	Female	26		ssault, Adult by Family Member oristic Threatening	A	ward		\$150.00
16- 0361	Female	27	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	r A	ward		\$250.00
16- 0362	Female	56	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$50.00
16- 0367	Female	22	NH1 - No	egligent Homicide I, DUI	A	ward		\$1,553.61
16- 0375	Female	28	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	A	ward		\$100.00
16- 0376	Male	44	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	De	enial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0379	Male	55	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$100.00
16- 0383	Female	43	KID - Ki TT - Ten	Sexual Assault, Adult by Family dnapping roristic Threatening ssault, Adult by Family Member		ward		\$415.00
16- 0390	Male	4	SAC - Se	exual Assault, Child	A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00
16- 0397	Female	19	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	A	ward		\$150.00
16- 0400	Male	33	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$100.00
16- 0409	Female	62	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	r A	ward		\$150.00
16- 0410	Female	37	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	A	ward		\$200.00
16- 0411	Female	39	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$150.00
16- 0422	Female	22	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	r A	ward		\$200.00
16- 0425	Female	26		ssault, Adult by Family Member Poristic Threatening	A	ward		\$200.00
16- 0427	Female	7	AC - Ass	ault, Child	D	enial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0435	Male	53	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$50.00

# STATE OF HAWAI'I DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

#### **ANNUAL REPORT**

#### July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Case #	Victim S		rictim Crime Age	Decision	Remark	xs ,	Fotal Award
16- 0445	Female	46	AAF - Assault, Adult l	by Family Member A	ward		\$250.00
16- 0462	Female	48	AA - Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$150.00
16- 0464	Male	15	AC - Assault, Child	A		AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0472	Male	8	ACF - Assault, Child b	by Family Member A		AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0475	Female	20	AAF - Assault, Adult l	by Family Member A	ward		\$150.00
16- 0478	Female	18	SAA - Sexual Assault,	Adult	ward		\$300.00
16- 0482	Female	34	AAF - Assault, Adult l	by Family Member A	ward		\$100.00
16- 0489	Female	5	SAC - Sexual Assault,	Child A		AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0501	Female	14	SAC - Sexual Assault,	Child A		AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$350.00
16- 0510	Male	37	AA - Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$200.00
16- 0513	Male	0	ACF - Assault, Child b	by Family Member A		AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$200.00
16- 0514	Female	33	AAF - Assault, Adult l	by Family Member A	ward		\$100.00
16- 0515	Female	24	AA - Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$50.00
16- 0516	Female	26	AAF - Assault, Adult 1	by Family Member A	ward		\$693.90
16- 0524	Male	10	ACF - Assault, Child b	by Family Member A		AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0525	Male	5	SAC - Sexual Assault,	Child		AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0531	Female	11	SACF - Sexual Assaul	t, Child by Family		AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$300.00
16- 0538	Male	19	AAF - Assault, Adult l	by Family Member Do	enial	CTI - Contributory	\$0.00
16- 0541	Male	48	AA - Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$250.00
16- 0553	Female	27	AAF - Assault, Adult l	by Family Member A	ward		\$150.00
16- 0554	Female	26	AAF - Assault, Adult l	by Family Member A	ward		\$100.00
16- 0556	Male	16	AC - Assault, Child	A		AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$276.27
16- 0560	Female	46	TT - Terroristic Threat	tening		NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0561	Female	41	AAF - Assault, Adult l	by Family Member A	ward		\$150.00
16- 0564	Female	18	SAA - Sexual Assault,	Adult	ward		\$228.00
16- 0578	Female	26	AAF - Assault, Adult l	by running trientoer	ward		\$200.00

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victim S		Victim Age	Crime	Decision	Remar	ks	Total Award
16- 0583	Male	15	AC - Ass	ault, Child	A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0586	Male	55	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$3,934.06
17- 0017	Male	58	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$100.00
17- 0025	Female	13	SAC - Se	exual Assault, Child	A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$350.00
17- 0032	Female	21	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$50.00
17- 0054	Female	46	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$100.00
17- 0065	Female	18	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	lember A	ward		\$100.00
17- 0066	Female	18	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	lember A	ward		\$100.00
17- 0068	Female	18	SAA - Se	exual Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$50.00
17- 0071	Male	50	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$100.00
17- 0086	Female	82	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	Tember A	ward		\$200.00
17- 0087	Male	87	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	Tember A	ward		\$150.00
17- 0088	Male	4	ACF - As	ssault, Child by Family M	ember A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
17- 0089	Female	37	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	Tember A	ward		\$100.00
17- 0091	Female	28	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	Tember A	ward		\$200.00
17- 0092	Male	53	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	Tember A	ward		\$100.00
17- 0095	Male	65	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$50.00
17- 0102	Female	30	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	Tember A	ward		\$100.00
17- 0105	Male	59	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	Tember De	enial	IEC - Insufficient Evidence of a Crime	\$0.00
17- 0108	Male	53	AA – Ass	sault, Adult	A	ward		\$50.00
17- 0140	Female	17	AC - Ass	ault, Child	A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$100.00
17- 0141	Female	21	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	Tember A	ward		\$50.00
17- 0148	Female	27	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	Tember A	ward		\$100.00
17- 0149	Male	32	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$50.00
17- 0165	Female	31	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family M	Tember A	ward		\$331.16
17- 0166	Female	49	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$50.00

### ANNUAL REPORT

	Case #	Victin		Victim Crime Age	Decision Re	marks T	otal Award
	17- 0175	Female	52	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Denial	IEC - Insufficient Evidence of a Crime	\$0.00
	17- 0176	Female	17	AC – Assault, Child	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$50.00
	17- 0177	Female	13	AC – Assault, Child	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$100.00
	17- 0178	Female	31	ATM - Attempted Murder	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
	17- 0179	Male	36	ATM - Attempted Murder	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
	17- 0180	Female	54	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Me	ember Award		\$100.00
	17- 0201	Female	38	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family M	ember Award		\$200.00
	17- 0239	Female	48	SAAF - Sexual Assault, Adult by F	Tamily Award		\$350.00
	17- 0240	Male	28	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$50.00
	17- 0245	Female	14	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$200.00
	17- 0256	Female	14	ACF - Assault, Child by Family M	ember Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$100.00
	17- 0282	Female	52	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$150.00
	17- 0306	Female	26	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Denial	INM - Inmate	\$0.00
Hawaii - Kona	16- 0040	Female	55	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Denial	BFP - Beyond Filing Period	\$0.00
	16- 0137	Female	40	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Award		\$7,800.00
	16- 0174	Male	47	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$1,230.97
	16- 0192	Male	58	NH1 - Negligent Homicide I, DUI	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
	16- 0202	Female	34	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family M	ember Award		\$210.00
	16- 0203	Male	72	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$250.00
	16- 0206	Female	28	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$50.00
	16- 0282	Male	39	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$150.00
	16- 0328	Female	27	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family M	ember Award		\$1,399.61
	16- 0336	Male	58	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$0.00
	16- 0348	Female	22	AA - Assault, Adult	Denial	CTI - Contributory	\$0.00
	16- 0350	Female	22	ATM - Attempted Murder	Denial	CTI - Contributory	\$0.00
	16- 0370	Female	56	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Award		\$50.00

### ANNUAL REPORT

	Case #	Victin		Victim Crime Age	Decision	Rema	arks	Total Award
	16- 0405	Male	38	AA - Assault, Adult		Award		\$100.00
	16- 0428	Female	29	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult		Award		\$600.00
	16- 0485	Female	10	SACF - Sexual Assault, Child by Family		Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00
	16- 0499	Female	37	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member		Award		\$100.00
	16- 0540	Male	8	ACF - Assault, Child by Family Member		Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
	16- 0542	Male	36	HA - Homicide, Murder, Mans; Adult		Award		\$1,450.80
	16- 0562	Female	30	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member		Award		\$100.00
	17- 0118	Male	26	NI1 - Negligent Injury I		Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
	17- 0124	Male	69	AA - Assault, Adult		Award		\$537.51
	17- 0202	Female	32	AA - Assault, Adult		Award		\$50.00
	17- 0271	Male	60	AA - Assault, Adult		Award		\$50.00
Honolulu - Oahu	06- 0477	Female	30	AA - Assault, Adult		Denial	IEC - Insufficient Evidence of a Crime	\$0.00
	08- 0446	Female	13	SACF - Sexual Assault, Child by Family		Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$800.00
	08- 0874	Male	15	AC - Assault, Child		Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$200.00
	09- 0460	Male	15	AC - Assault, Child		Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
	13- 0587	Female	39	AA - Assault, Adult		Award		\$50.00
	14- 0451	Female	9	SACF - Sexual Assault, Child by Family		Denial	NPR - No Police Report	\$0.00
	14- 0452	Female	9	SACF - Sexual Assault, Child by Family		Denial	NPR - No Police Report	\$0.00
	15- 0228	Female	18	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult		Award		\$2,274.40
	15- 0293	Female	14	SACF - Sexual Assault, Child by Family		Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00
	15- 0404	Female	19	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member		Denial	IEC - Insufficient Evidence of a Crime	\$0.00
	15- 0416	Female	26	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult		Award		\$2,032.75
	15- 0427	Female	33	AA - Assault, Adult		Denial	IEC - Insufficient Evidence of a Crime	\$0.00
	15- 0503	Female	42	AA - Assault, Adult		Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
	15- 0527	Female	40	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member		Award		\$150.00

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victim		Victim Age	Crime	Decision	Remai	·ks	Total Award
16- 0014	Female	42	AA - As	ssault, Adult	De	nial	BFP - Beyond Filing Period	\$0.00
16- 0035	Female	24	ATM -	Attempted Murder	Av	vard		\$400.00
16- 0044	Female	41	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Av	vard		\$316.00
16- 0050	Female	34	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Av	vard		\$150.00
16- 0053	Male	30	AA - As	ssault, Adult	De	nial	IC - Improper Claim	\$0.00
16- 0059	Female	36	SAAF -	Sexual Assault, Adult by Family	Av	vard		\$400.00
16- 0060	Female	33	AA - As	ssault, Adult	Av	vard		\$5,187.16
16- 0067	Female	24	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r De	nial	IC - Improper Claim	\$0.00
16- 0068	Female	29	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Av	vard		\$200.00
16- 0088	Male	48	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r De	nial	IC - Improper Claim	\$0.00
16- 0091	Male	4	SAC - S	exual Assault, Child	De	nial	NPR - No Police Report	\$0.00
16- 0101	Female	40		Assault, Adult by Family Memberroristic Threatening	r Av	vard		\$200.00
16- 0109	Female	22	SAA - S	Sexual Assault, Adult	Av	vard		\$3,255.00
16- 0118	Female	45	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Av	vard		\$100.00
16- 0119	Female	13	SAC - S	exual Assault, Child	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$580.00
16- 0120	Male	65	AA - As	ssault, Adult	De	nial	BFP - Beyond Filing Period	\$0.00
16- 0127	Female	17	AC - As	sault, Child	Av	vard		\$100.00
16- 0131	Male	44	AA - As	ssault, Adult	Av	vard		\$1,900.67
16- 0132	Male	30		sault, Adult rroristic Threatening	Av	vard		\$239.57
16- 0133	Male	50		ssault, Adult	Av	vard		\$1,409.72
16- 0134	Male	48	AA - As	ssault, Adult	Av	vard		\$100.00
16- 0135	Female	41	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Av	vard		\$100.00
16- 0136	Female	36	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Av	vard		\$100.00
16- 0140	Female	54	AA - As	ssault, Adult	De	nial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0142	Female	41	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Av	vard		\$546.24

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victim S		ictim Crime ge	Decision Remark	ks	Total Award
16- 0143	Female	20	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Award		\$200.00
16- 0144	Female	26	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Award		\$375.00
16- 0153	Male	18	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$73.72
16- 0156	Male	53	NCC - Not a Covered Crime	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0158	Female	7	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$350.00
16- 0160	Female	23	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Award		\$452.00
16- 0163	Male	28	AA - Assault, Adult	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0168	Female	35	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Award		\$150.00
16- 0169	Female	22	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$150.00
16- 0170	Male	29	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$50.00
16- 0179	Female	41	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Denial	BFP - Beyond Filing Period	\$0.00
16- 0180	Female	48	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Award		\$200.00
16- 0185	Female	40	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Award		\$100.00
16- 0187	Male	20	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$100.00
16- 0188	Female	35	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$4,978.69
16- 0189	Male	43	AA - Assault, Adult	Denial	CTI – Contributory	\$0.00
16- 0193	Male	53	NCC - Not a Covered Crime	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0194	Male	53	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$100.00
16- 0197	Male	33	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$2,130.50
16- 0198	Female	57	AA - Assault, Adult	Denial	IEC - Insufficient Evidence of a Crime	\$0.00
16- 0199	Female	17	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Award		\$250.00
16- 0207	Male	16	AC - Assault, Child	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$252.08
16- 0208	Male	49	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$50.00
16- 0210	Female	71	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Award		\$350.00
16- 0212	Female	49	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$50.00
16- 0213	Male	22	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Award		\$250.00

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victim		Victim Age	Crime	Decision	Remar	·ks	Total Award
16- 0214	Female	27	AAF -	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$150.00
16- 0219	Female	39	AAF -	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$200.00
16- 0220	Female	5	SAC -	Sexual Assault, Child	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00
16- 0222	Female	45	AAF -	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$120.00
16- 0223	Female	24	AAF -	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$250.00
16- 0225	Male	30	AA - A	assault, Adult	Av	vard		\$444.70
16- 0231	Male	36	HA - H	Iomicide, Murder, Mans; Adult	Av	vard		\$4,000.00
16- 0234	Male	31	AA - A	assault, Adult	Av	vard		\$504.43
16- 0235	Female	23	AAF -	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$200.00
16- 0236	Male	22	AA - A	assault, Adult	Av	vard		\$1,590.31
16- 0254	Female	16	ACF -	Assault, Child by Family Member	Av	vard		\$154.89
16- 0255	Female	34	AAF -	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$215.00
16- 0260	Male	37	AA - A	assault, Adult	Av	vard		\$0.00
16- 0261	Female	38	AAF -	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$150.00
16- 0271	Female	37	AAF -	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$100.00
16- 0272	Male	45	NCC -	Not a Covered Crime	De	nial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0273	Female	27	AAF -	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$250.00
16- 0274	Male	78	AA - A	assault, Adult	Av	vard		\$150.00
16- 0275	Male	9	AC - A	ssault, Child	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$121.98
16- 0276	Male	16	AC - A	ssault, Child	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$100.00
16- 0277	Male	14	AC - A	ssault, Child	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$100.00
16- 0278	Female	55	AA - A	assault, Adult	Av	vard		\$641.39
16- 0283	Female	66	HAF -	Homicide of Adult by Family Meml	per Av	vard		\$2,212.95
16- 0292	Male	17	AC - A	ssault, Child	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$100.00
16- 0294	Male	56	AA - A	assault, Adult	De	nial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0295	Female	18	AA - A	assault, Adult	Av	vard		\$150.00

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victin	n Sex	Victim Crime Age	Decision	Rema	rks T	otal Award
16- 0297	Female	41	AA - Assault, Adult	I	Denial	BFP - Beyond Filing Period	\$0.00
16- 0302	Female	32	AAF - Assault, Adult b	y Family Member	Award		\$225.00
16- 0303	Male	59	AA - Assault, Adult	1	Award		\$2,498.65
16- 0304	Female	23	AAF - Assault, Adult b	y Family Member	Award		\$250.00
16- 0311	Female	37	NI1 - Negligent Injury	I I	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0316	Female	9	SAC - Sexual Assault,	Child	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00
16- 0317	Female	47	AA - Assault, Adult KID - Kidnapping	1	Award		\$150.00
16- 0324	Female	24	AA - Assault, Adult	1	Award		\$50.00
16- 0325	Male	27	ATM - Attempted Mur	der	Award		\$300.00
16- 0329	Female	36	AAF - Assault, Adult b	y Family Member	Award		\$100.00
16- 0330	Female	36	AAF - Assault, Adult b	y Family Member	Award		\$100.00
16- 0331	Male	31	AA - Assault, Adult	1	Award		\$5,300.00
16- 0332	Male	44	AA - Assault, Adult	1	Award		\$50.00
16- 0333	Female	23	AAF - Assault, Adult b	y Family Member	Award		\$100.00
16- 0335	Female	35	AAF - Assault, Adult b	y Family Member	Award		\$150.00
16- 0337	Male	23	AA - Assault, Adult	1	Award		\$50.00
16- 0338	Female	39	NCC - Not a Covered C	Crime	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0341	Male	55	AAF - Assault, Adult b	y Family Member	Award		\$150.00
16- 0349	Male	21	AA - Assault, Adult	1	Award		\$100.00
16- 0352	Female	13	SAC - Sexual Assault,	Child	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$350.00
16- 0353	Female	24	SAA - Sexual Assault,	Adult	Award		\$360.00
16- 0356	Male	41	NI1 - Negligent Injury	I I	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0357	Female	40	NH1 - Negligent Homi	cide I, DUI	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0359	Female	13	AC - Assault, Child SAC - Sexual Assault,		Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0364	Male	48	AA - Assault, Adult	1	Award		\$50.00

### ANNUAL REPORT

Case #	Victim S		Victim Age	Crime	Decision	n Remar	ks	Total Award
16- 0365	Female	20	AA - Ass	sault, Adult		Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0371	Female	40	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$100.00
16- 0372	Female	40	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$150.00
16- 0373	Female	26	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$150.00
16- 0374	Female	18	AA - Ass	sault, Adult		Award		\$150.00
16- 0381	Female	12	SACF - S	Sexual Assault, Child by Famil	у	Award		\$865.00
16- 0382	Female	22	AA - Ass	sault, Adult		Award		\$1,350.22
16- 0384	Male	22	AA - Ass	sault, Adult		Award		\$5,215.68
16- 0385	Female	15	SAC - Se	exual Assault, Child		Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$250.00
16- 0391	Female	66	AA - Ass	sault, Adult		Award		\$2,877.33
16- 0392	Female	49	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$100.00
16- 0393	Female	43	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$150.00
16- 0394	Male	26	HA - Ho	micide, Murder, Mans; Adult		Award		\$4,000.00
16- 0395	Female	36	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$150.00
16- 0396	Female	22	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$150.00
16- 0401	Female	47	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$150.00
16- 0402	Female	45	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$200.00
16- 0403	Female	38		roristic Threatening ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$100.00
16- 0404	Male	32	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$100.00
16- 0406	Male	36	AA - Ass	sault, Adult		Award		\$326.28
16- 0407	Female	23	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$200.00
16- 0412	Female	19	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$252.87
16- 0413	Female	29	SAA - So	exual Assault, Adult		Award		\$200.00
16- 0414	Female	46	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$100.00
16- 0415	Female	33	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Memb	er	Award		\$100.00
16-	Female	3	ACF - A	ssault, Child by Family Membe	er	Award	AWARD HELD IN	\$150.00

### ANNUAL REPORT

Case #	Victim Se	ex Vi Ag		Decision Remar	ks	Total Award
0416					TRUST	
16- 0419	Male 3	32 A	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member	Award		\$1,154.42
16- 0420	Female 4	15 S	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Award		\$74.64
16- 0421	Female 4	18 F	HA - Homicide, Murder, Mans; Adult	Award	CS - Collateral Source	\$400.00
16- 0423	Male 5	57 A	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$100.00
16- 0426	Female 1	12 S	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0429	Female 2	22 N	NCC - Not a Covered Crime	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0430	Female 3	33 A	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$180.00
16- 0432	Male 2	25 N	NI2 - Negligent Injury II	Withdrawal	AWA - Applicant Withdrew Application	\$0.00
16- 0436	Female 2	25 A	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member	Award		\$150.00
16- 0437	Female 2	25 A	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$150.00
16- 0444	Male 1	15 A	AC - Assault, Child	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0447	Female 3	38 A	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member	Award		\$100.00
16- 0451	Female 4	18 A	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$329.75
16- 0453	Male 2		T - Terroristic Threatening AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member	Award		\$390.00
16- 0454	Female 1	16 A	ACF - Assault, Child by Family Member	Award		\$150.00
16- 0456	Female 1	14	AC - Assault, Child	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0457	Female 2	A	KID - Kidnapping AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member T - Terroristic Threatening	Award		\$400.00
16- 0458	Female 3	Т	AA - Assault, Adult T - Terroristic Threatening AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member	Award		\$200.00
16- 0459	Male 2	Т	AA - Assault, Adult T - Terroristic Threatening AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member	Award		\$250.00
16- 0463	Female 1	12 S	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0465	Female 5	51 A	AA - Assault, Adult	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victin	ı Sex	Victim Age	Crime	Decision	Rema	rks	Fotal Award
16- 0466	Female	15	SAC - S	exual Assault, Child	A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$1,353.53
16- 0467	Male	11	SAC - S	exual Assault, Child	Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00
16- 0468	Male	30	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$5,038.98
16- 0473	Female	20	SAA - S	exual Assault, Adult	Av	ward		\$280.00
16- 0476	Female	38	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	A	ward		\$50.00
16- 0477	Male	26	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$520.00
16- 0479	Female	39	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	. Av	ward		\$150.00
16- 0480	Female	41	SAA - S	exual Assault, Adult	Av	ward		\$367.90
16- 0483	Male	23	AA - As	sault, Adult	De	enial	BFP - Beyond Filing Period	\$0.00
16- 0484	Male	33	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$1,370.30
16- 0486	Female	27	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	A	ward		\$696.04
16- 0487	Female	54	SAA - S	exual Assault, Adult	Av	ward		\$50.00
16- 0488	Female	29	SAA - S	exual Assault, Adult	De	enial	INM – Inmate	\$0.00
16- 0490	Female	29	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$50.00
16- 0491	Female	27	SAA - S	exual Assault, Adult	Av	ward		\$1,620.60
16- 0493	Female	53	AAF - A	assault, Adult by Family Member	. De	enial	IC - Improper Claim	\$0.00
16- 0494	Male	63	Motor V	ehicle Accident	De	enial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0502	Male	30	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$302.24
16- 0503	Female	13	SAC - S	exual Assault, Child	Av	ward		\$430.00
16- 0504	Female	13	AC - As	sault, Child	Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$100.00
16- 0506	Female	54	ATM - A	Attempted Murder	Av	ward		\$150.00
16- 0507	Male	61	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$50.00
16- 0511	Female	28	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	A	ward		\$200.00
16- 0512	Female	28	NCC - N	lot a Covered Crime	De	enial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0517	Female	73	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$255.00
16- 0518	Female	14	AC - As	sault, Child	Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$100.00

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victim	Sex	Victim Age	Crime D	ecision	Rema	arks	Total Award
16- 0519	Female	30	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Aw	ard		\$100.00
16- 0523	Male	22	ATM -	Attempted Murder	Aw	ard		\$15,654.95
16- 0528	Male	60	AA - As	sault, Adult	Aw	ard		\$1,189.58
16- 0530	Female	35		rroristic Threatening Assault, Adult by Family Member	Aw	ard		\$200.00
16- 0533	Female	56	AA - As	sault, Adult	De	nial	BFP - Beyond Filing Period	\$0.00
16- 0534	Female	34	AA - As	sault, Adult	Aw	ard		\$50.00
16- 0535	Male	4	HC - Ho	omicide, Murder, Mans; Child by Fam	ily Aw	ard		\$4,000.00
16- 0536	Female	29	ATMF -	Attempted Murder by Family Member	er Aw	ard		\$8,000.00
16- 0539	Female	13	ACF - A	assault, Child by Family	Aw	ard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$200.00
16- 0543	Female	33	NCC - N	Not a Covered Crime	De	nial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0545	Male	24	HA - Ho	omicide, Murder, Mans; Adult	Aw	ard		\$4,000.00
16- 0546	Male	35	SAA - S	exual Assault, Adult	Aw	ard		\$274.43
16- 0547	Male	18	AA - As	sault, Adult	Aw	ard		\$291.25
16- 0548	Female	26	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Aw	ard		\$150.00
16- 0549	Male	88	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Aw	ard		\$150.00
16- 0550	Female	60	TT - Te	rroristic Threatening	De	nial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0551	Female	28	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Aw	ard		\$200.00
16- 0552	Female	26	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Aw	ard		\$150.00
16- 0555	Female	18	AA - As	sault, Adult	Aw	ard		\$50.00
16- 0557	Female	40	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Aw	ard		\$188.19
16- 0558	Female	32	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Aw	ard		\$100.00
16- 0559	Female	24	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Aw	ard		\$150.00
16- 0565	Male	36	NCC - N	Not a Covered Crime	De	nial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
16- 0566	Female	46		rroristic Threatening Assault, Adult by Family Member	Aw	ard		\$200.00
16- 0567	Female	50	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Aw	ard		\$100.00

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victin		Victim Age	Crime	Decision	Rema	rks	Total Award
16- 0568	Male	46	NI1 - Ne	egligent Injury I	De	enial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0569	Female	28	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	Av	ward		\$150.00
16- 0571	Female	6	ACF - A	ssault, Child by Family Member	De	enial	NPA - Not a Proper Applicant	\$0.00
16- 0572	Female	15	SAC - S	exual Assault, Child	Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00
16- 0573	Female	4	ACF - A	ssault, Child by Family Member	De	enial	NPA - Not a Proper Applicant	\$0.00
16- 0574	Female	21	SAA - S	exual Assault, Adult	Av	ward		\$350.00
16- 0575	Male	66	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$50.00
16- 0577	Female	32	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$131.60
16- 0580	Male	4	SACF -	Sexual Assault, Child by Family	Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00
16- 0582	Female	19	SACF -	Sexual Assault, Child by Family	Av	ward		\$400.00
16- 0585	Male	44	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$100.00
17- 0001	Female	19	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	Av	ward		\$416.13
17- 0003	Male	64	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$100.00
17- 0004	Male	28	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$50.00
17- 0005	Female	34	NCC - N	lot a Covered Crime	De	enial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
17- 0006	Female	30		roristic Threatening assault, Adult by Family Member		ward		\$200.00
17- 0007	Female	54	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	Av	ward		\$150.00
17- 0008	Female	15	SAC - S	exual Assault, Child	Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$100.00
17- 0012	Female	44	HA - Ho	micide, Murder, Mans; Adult	Av	ward		\$4,000.00
17- 0013	Female	16		idnapping exual Assault, Child	Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$250.00
17- 0014	Female	31	KID - K	idnapping	Av	ward		\$200.00
17- 0016	Female	48	NCC - N	Not a Covered Crime	De	enial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
17- 0018	Female	55	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$50.00
17- 0020	Male	52	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$50.00
17- 0021	Female	7	SAC - S	exual Assault, Child	Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$350.00

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victim S		/ictim Age	Crime	Decision	Remar	·ks T	Total Award
17- 0026	Female	29		roristic Threatening ssault, Adult by Family Member		enial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
17- 0027	Male	76	AA - As	sault, Adult	De	enial	IEC - Insufficient Evidence of a Crime	\$0.00
17- 0028	Male			sault, Adult roristic Threatening	Av	ward		\$100.00
17- 0029	Female	16	SAC - Se	exual Assault, Child	Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
17- 0030	Female	5	SAC - Se	exual Assault, Child	Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$350.00
17- 0033	Male	61	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$150.00
17- 0034	Female	36	SAA - S	exual Assault, Adult	Av	ward		\$1,179.00
17- 0038	Female	13	SACF - S	Sexual Assault, Child by Family	Member Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00
17- 0045	Male	32	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$4,468.36
17- 0046	Male	46	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$50.00
17- 0047	Female	44	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$50.00
17- 0048	Male	44	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$781.73
17- 0049	Female	21		idnapping exual Assault, Adult	Av	ward		\$300.00
17- 0051	Female	63	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$100.00
17- 0052	Female			roristic Threatening ssault, Adult by Family Member		enial	IC - Improper Claim	\$0.00
17- 0055	Male	30	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$4,954.76
17- 0056	Female	16	SACF - S	Sexual Assault, Child by Family	Av	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00
17- 0057	Female	35	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	Av	ward		\$100.00
17- 0061	Female	48	NCC - N	ot a Covered Crime	De	enial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
17- 0063	Female	24	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	Av	ward		\$4,267.02
17- 0064	Female	52	AAF - A	ssault, Adult by Family Member	Av	ward		\$2,067.22
17- 0067	Female	45	AA - As	sault, Adult	Av	ward		\$50.00
17- 0074	Female	50	SAA - S	exual Assault, Adult	Av	ward		\$200.00
17- 0076	Female	19	SAA - S	exual Assault, Adult	Av	ward		\$200.00

### ANNUAL REPORT

Case #	Victim S		/ictim Age	Crime	Decision	Remar	ks	Total Award	
17- 0078	Female	27	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family Member	,	Award		\$100.00	
17- 0080	Male	40	TT - Terro	ristic Threatening	]	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00	
17- 0081	Male		TT - Terro	ristic Threatening ult, Adult	,	Award		\$150.00	
17- 0083	Male	11	Motor Vel	nicle Accident	]	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00	
17- 0084	Female	43	AA - Assa	ult, Adult		Award		\$50.00	
17- 0090	Female	38	AA - Assa	ult, Adult	,	Award		\$150.00	
17- 0093	Female	74	NCC - No	t a Covered Crime	]	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00	
17- 0096	Male	66	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family Member		Award		\$100.00	
17- 0097	Female		AAF - Ass KID – Kid	ault, Adult by Family Member napping	,	Award		\$700.00	
17- 0101	Female	33	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family Member	,	Award		\$200.00	
17- 0103	Male	28	ATM - Att	empted Murder	,	Award		\$200.00	
17- 0106	Female	45	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family Member		Award		\$100.00	
17- 0109	Male	32	AA - Assa	ult, Adult		Award		\$100.00	
17- 0110	Female	22	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family Member	,	Award		\$200.00	
17- 0111	Male	16	SAC - Sex	ual Assault, Child	,	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$264.00	
17- 0112	Male	60	AA - Assa	ult, Adult	_	Award		\$170.00	
17- 0113	Female	22	SAA - Sex	ual Assault, Adult	,	Award		\$100.00	
17- 0114	Male		AA - Assa TT - Terro	ult, Adult ristic Threatening		Award		\$100.00	
17- 0115	Female	21	AA - Assa	ult, Adult	,	Award		\$307.50	
17- 0117	Female	20	SAA - Sex	ual Assault, Adult		Award		\$1,112.00	
17- 0125	Female	37	AA - Assa	ult, Adult	,	Award		\$50.00	
17- 0128	Male	45	AA - Assa	ult, Adult	,	Award	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	
17- 0129	Female	26	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family Member	,	Award		\$200.00	
17- 0132	Female	61	AA - Assa	ult, Adult	,	Award		\$816.99	
17- 0133	Male	41	AA - Assa	ult, Adult	1	Award		\$100.00	

### ANNUAL REPORT

Case #	Victim Se		ictim Crime ge	Decision	Remar	ks	Total Award
17- 0134	Female 5		AAF - Assault, Adult by Family E	Member A	ward		\$400.00
17- 0137	Female 5	53	AA - Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$432.58
17- 0139	Female 2	21	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$960.00
17- 0146	Female 4		TT - Terroristic Threatening AAF - Assault, Adult by Family		ward		\$200.00
17- 0157	Female	15	ACF - Assault, Child by Family I	Member A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
17- 0158	Male	11	AA - Assault, Adult	Α	ward		\$50.00
17- 0159	Female	13	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Α	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
17- 0160	Female	15	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Α	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$350.00
17- 0161	Female 4	14	AA - Assault, Adult	Г	enial	CTI – Contributory	\$0.00
17- 0162	Male 3	36	NH2 - Negligent Homicide II	Г	enial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
17- 0168	Female 3	35	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	А	ward		\$300.00
17- 0172	Male 5	58	AA - Assault, Adult	Г	enial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00
17- 0173	Male	19	HA - Homicide, Murder, Mans;	Adult	ward		\$4,000.00
17- 0182	Female 2	25	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Α	ward		\$868.00
17- 0183	Female	18	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Α	ward		\$200.00
17- 0184	Female		KID – Kidnapping SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$1,193.00
17- 0185	Female 5	52	AA - Assault, Adult	Е	enial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
17- 0188	Male	58	AA - Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$577.60
17- 0189	Female	15	AC - Assault, Child	С	enial	CTI – Contributory	\$0.00
17- 0190	Male	18	AA - Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$50.00
17- 0193	Female 2	21	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$200.00
17- 0195	Female 4		TT - Terroristic Threatening AA - Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$200.00
17- 0196	Female 2	20	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$200.00
17- 0198	Female 6	53	AA - Assault, Adult	С	Penial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victim		Victim Age	Crime	Decision	Remar	·ks	Total Award
17- 0204	Female	32	AA - A	ssault, Adult	Av	vard		\$2,667.02
17- 0205	Female	40	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$250.00
17- 0206	Female	32	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$100.00
17- 0207	Female	52	AA - A	ssault, Adult	Av	vard		\$150.00
17- 0208	Female	42		rroristic Threatening Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$150.00
17- 0211	Male	46	ATM -	Attempted Murder	Av	vard		\$0.00
17- 0212	Female	37	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$150.00
17- 0214	Female	19	SAA - S	Sexual Assault, Adult	Av	vard		\$400.00
17- 0216	Female	33	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$150.00
17- 0217	Male	36		rroristic Threatening ssault, Adult	Av	vard		\$150.00
17- 0218	Female	27	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$115.00
17- 0219	Female	17	SAC - S	Sexual Assault, Child	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$250.00
17- 0226	Female	20	AA - A	ssault, Adult	Av	vard		\$50.00
17- 0227	Male	38	AA - A	ssault, Adult	Av	vard		\$0.00
17- 0228	Female	16	TT - Te	Sexual Assault, Child rroristic Threatening Kidnapping	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$1,050.00
17- 0229	Female	44	13AAF	- Assault, Adult by Family Membe	r Av	vard		\$100.00
17- 0230	Female	23	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$100.00
17- 0233	Female	29	HA - H	omicide, Murder, Mans; Adult	Av	vard		\$842.46
17- 0234	Female	46	AA - A	ssault, Adult	Av	vard		\$100.00
17- 0247	Female	36	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$150.00
17- 0248	Female	47	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$100.00
17- 0249	Male	28	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$150.00
17- 0253	Female	26	AAF - A	Assault, Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$100.00
17- 0258	Female	29	SAA - S	Sexual Assault, Adult	Av	vard		\$400.00

### ANNUAL REPORT

	Case #	Victim Sex		Victim Crime Age		Decision	Rema	rks	Total Award	
	17- 0262	Female	36	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family M	ember	Award		\$100.00	
	17- 0270	Female	11	ACF - Ass	ault, Child by Family Me	ember	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00	
	17- 0275	Female	25	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family M	ember	Award		\$100.00	
	17- 0290	Female	28	AA - Assa	ult, Adult		Award		\$50.00	
ai - ai	16- 0154	Female	7	SACF - Se	xual Assault, Child by Fa	amily	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00	
	16- 0162	Female	7	SAC - Sex	ual Assault, Child		Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00	
	16- 0178	Male	24	AA - Assa	ult, Adult		Award		\$265.75	
	16- 0216	Male	31	AA - Assa	ult, Adult		Award		\$50.00	
	16- 0230	Female	49	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family Mo	ember	Award		\$150.00	
	16- 0237	Female	44	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family Mo	ember	Denial	BFP - Beyond Filing Period	\$0.00	
	16- 0280	Female	29	SAA - Sex	ual Assault, Adult		Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	
	16- 0301	Female	43	SAA - Sex	ual Assault, Adult		Award		\$200.00	
	16- 0327	Male	63	AA - Assa	ult, Adult		Award		\$100.00	
	16- 0354	Female	15	SAC - Sex	ual Assault, Child		Denial	IC - Improper Claim	\$0.00	
	16- 0366	Female	32	AA - Assa	ult, Adult		Denial	IC - Improper Claim	\$0.00	
	16- 0377	Female	37	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family M	ember	Award		\$100.00	
	16- 0378	Male	36	NI1 - Negl	igent Injury I		Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	
	16- 0424	Female	51	AA - Assa	ult, Adult		Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	
	16- 0431	Female	31	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family M	ember	Award		\$850.00	
	16- 0433	Female	13	SACF - Se	xual Assault, Child by Fa	amily Member	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00	
	16- 0434	Female	11	SACF - Se	exual Assault, Child by Fa	amily Member	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00	
	16- 0448	Female	37	AAF - Ass	ault, Adult by Family M	ember	Award		\$6,337.93	
	16- 0450	Male	20	AA - Assa	ult, Adult		Award		\$5,100.00	
	16- 0452	Female	15	SAC - Sex	ual Assault, Child		Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00	
	16- 0471	Male	70	AA - Assa	ult, Adult		Award		\$333.52	
	16- 0500	Male	33	AA - Assa	ult, Adult		Award		\$2,925.86	

### ANNUAL REPORT

	Case #	Victin		Victim Crime Age	Decision Rema	arks	Total Award	
	16- 0522			HA - Homicide, Murder, Mans; Adult	Award		\$2,996.98	
	17- 0035	Female	13	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00	
	17- 0050	Male	49	Motor Vehicle Accident	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00	
	17- 0077	Male	51	AA - Assault, Adult	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	
	17- 0085	Male	28	AA - Assault, Adult	Denial	IC - Improper Claim	\$0.00	
	17- 0094	Female	35	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$150.00	
	17- 0104	Female	23	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	
	17- 0120	Male	35	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$3,145.00	
	17- 0126	Male	16	SACF - Sexual Assault, Child by Family	Denial	NPA - Not a Proper Applicant	\$0.00	
	17- 0191	Female	19	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Award		\$200.00	
	17- 0192	Female	24	AA - Assault, Adult	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	
	17- 0242	Male	12	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	
	17- 0252	Female	28	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member	Award		\$100.00	
	17- 0257	Male	39	AA - Assault, Adult	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	
Maui - Lanai	16- 0148	Female	45	AA - Assault, Adult	Denial	BFP - Beyond Filing Period	\$0.00	
	16- 0579	Female	18	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$50.00	
	17- 0058	Female	27	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member	Award		\$100.00	
Maui - Maui	15- 0561	Female	11	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Withdrawa	AWA - Applicant Withdrew Application	\$0.00	
	16- 0090	Female	41	AAF - Assault, Adult by Family Member	Award		\$215.30	
	16- 0184	Male	68	AA - Assault, Adult	Award		\$4,630.91	
	16- 0205	Female	24	HAF - Homicide of Adult by Family Mer	mber Award		\$4,000.00	
	16- 0224	Male	53	ATM - Attempted Murder	Award		\$4,925.08	
	16- 0281	Female	38	ATM - Attempted Murder	Award		\$250.00	
	16- 0293	Female	36	AA - Assault, Adult10	Award		\$150.00	
	16- 0299	Female	12	SAC - Sexual Assault, Child	Award	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00	
	16- 0309	Female	25	SAA - Sexual Assault, Adult	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victim		Victim Cri Age	me	Decision	Remai	·ks	Total Award
16- 0310	Male	34	ATM - Attempt	ed Murder	Av	vard		\$300.00
16- 0315	Male	13	AC - Assault, C	hild	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$249.75
16- 0344	Female	13	SAC - Sexual A	ssault, Child	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$350.00
16- 0345	Female	20	AAF - Assault,	Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$250.00
16- 0346	Male	16	NI1 - Negligent	Injury I	De	nial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
16- 0351	Male	24	HA - Homicide,	Murder, Mans; Adult	Av	vard		\$4,000.00
16- 0358	Male	36	AA - Assault, A	dult	Av	vard		\$200.00
16- 0360	Male	59	AA - Assault, A	dult	De	enial	BFP - Beyond Filing Period	\$0.00
16- 0363	Female	22	AA - Assault, A	dult	Av	vard		\$150.00
16- 0369	Female	20	AAF - Assault,	Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$100.00
16- 0380	Female	55	AAF - Assault,	Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$100.00
16- 0398	Female	54	SAA - Sexual A	ssault, Adult	Av	vard		\$446.55
16- 0417	Male	8	ACF - Assault,	Child by Family Member	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0418	Male	10	ACF - Assault,	Child by Family Member	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$150.00
16- 0470	Male	26	AA - Assault, A	dult	Av	vard		\$6,712.64
16- 0495	Female	16	SAC - Sexual A	ssault, Child	Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$555.85
16- 0505	Female	49	AA - Assault, A	dult	Av	vard		\$765.07
16- 0537	Male	29	AA - Assault, A	dult	De	nial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00
17- 0009	Female	27	AAF - Assault,	Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$150.00
17- 0010	Female	44	AA - Assault, A	dult	Av	vard		\$150.00
17- 0023	Male	38	AA - Assault, A	dult	Av	vard		\$2,645.40
17- 0024	Female	15	SACF - Sexual	Assault, Child by Family M	ember Av	vard	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$400.00
17- 0036	Female	62	AA - Assault, A	dult	Av	vard		\$150.00
17- 0059	Female	27	AAF - Assault,	Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$200.00
17- 0060	Female	27	AAF - Assault,	Adult by Family Member	Av	vard		\$100.00

### **ANNUAL REPORT**

Case #	Victin	n Sex	Victim Age	Crime Decision		Remarks		Total Award	
17- 0073	Male	56	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$458.96	
17- 0099	Male	53	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$362.65	
17- 0107	Female	46	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family	/ Member D	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00	
17- 0119	Male	41	Motor Ve	ehicle Accident	Б	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00	
17- 0135	Female	14	SAC - Se	xual Assault, Child	Α	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$350.00	
17- 0136	Female	17	SAC - Se	xual Assault, Child	Α	ward		\$250.00	
17- 0151	Male	51	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$200.00	
17- 0152	Male	27	NH1 - Ne	egligent Homicide I, D	UI D	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	
17- 0153	Male	61	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	Α	ward		\$769.90	
17- 0154	Female	19	SAA - Se	exual Assault, Adult	A	ward		\$300.00	
17- 0155	Male	17	AC - Ass	ault, Child	A	ward		\$4,060.06	
17- 0169	Female	11	SAC - Se	xual Assault, Child	A	ward	AWARD HELD IN TRUST	\$200.00	
17- 0170	Male	39	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	Г	Denial	IEC - Insufficient Evidence of a Crime	\$0.00	
17- 0210	Female	73	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	Α	ward		\$100.00	
17- 0220	Female	42	SAA - Se	exual Assault, Adult	Α	ward		\$200.00	
17- 0221	Female	19	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family	Member A	ward		\$100.00	
17- 0222	Male	73	Motor Ve	ehicle Accident	Г	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00	
17- 0223	Female	70	Motor Ve	ehicle Accident	Г	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00	
17- 0224	Female	91	SAAF - S	Sexual Assault, Adult	Г	Denial	NPA - Not a Proper Applicant	\$0.00	
17- 0250	Male	47	ATM - A	ttempted Murder	Г	Denial	CS - Collateral Source	\$0.00	
17- 0267	Male	67	Motor Ve	ehicle Accident	Г	Denial	NCC - Not a Covered Case	\$0.00	
i 16- 0240	Male	69	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	Α	ward		\$165.00	
16- 0342	Female	50	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	A	ward		\$100.00	
16- 0343	Female	60	AAF - As	ssault, Adult by Family	/ Member A	ward		\$1,002.61	
17- 0062	Male	33	AA - Ass	ault, Adult	Α	ward		\$50.00	